

SECRET

25X1

UNITED STATES INTELLIGENCE BOARD

SECURITY COMMITTEE

SECOM-M-163
7 October 1974

Minutes

One Hundred Sixty-Third Meeting
Room 6E-0708, CIA Headquarters
Tuesday, 1 October 1974, 1030 to 1215 Hours

Chairman
Presiding

25X1

MEMBERS PRESENT

25X1

[Redacted box for Members Present]

ALSO PRESENT

25X1

[Redacted box for Also Present]

SECRET

SECRET

25X1

SECOM-M-163
7 October 1974

The 1 October 1974 meeting of the Security Committee was called by the Chairman primarily to address the report of the "Community Limited Access Intelligence Register" (CLAIRE) Working Group.

The Chairman opened the meeting with an expression of appreciation to the members for attending the special meeting.

1. Community Limited Access Intelligence Register (CLAIRE)

The Chairman called on [] Chairman of the CLAIRE Working Group, who reported on the activities of the group and offered to answer questions on the group's report.

25X1

The Navy member questioned the statement of cost of the CLAIRE system and submitted a proposed change. The Chairman agreed in principle with the need for clarification. He proposed an alternative to the Navy's suggested amendment which was accepted by the members.

The Chairman proposed one other non-substantive change which was accepted by the members.

The Chairman then asked for comments and any proposed changes in the position of the members. Members agreed that the report adequately reflected their positions and had no substantive changes to offer.

The members discussed the report in general terms. The discussions underscored the positions reflected in the report. Essentially the DoD members held to the position that the CLAIRE system would not do the job for DoD. They were of the opinion that a detailed study should be made to investigate a larger computer system, such as had been proposed by DIA during Working Group deliberations, which could satisfy their perceived needs in clearance controls as well as answer the DCI's requirements.

The NSA member agreed with the DoD position. NSA found minimal benefits in the CLAIRE proposal and would like to see the DoD position adopted.

SECRET

SECRET

25X1

State and AEC could use the CLAIRE system but endorsed the NSA desire to see a study made of a larger system as proposed by DIA.

The CIA member proposed that the CLAIRE system be implemented. If the CLAIRE system was rejected in subsequent deliberations, the CIA did not want to be committed to a long-range study of a system as proposed by DIA.

The FBI and Treasury members mentioned that they have no need for either system at this time and would abstain from voting on the CLAIRE proposal or the DIA proposal.

The Chairman expressed the belief that the study proposed by DIA as an alternative to adoption of the CLAIRE proposal would be a massive task requiring a long period of time and that the Committee should not undertake it unless specifically tasked by the USIB to do so.

The FBI representative mentioned that other agencies might endorse the CIA proposal to implement CLAIRE within CIA with an understanding that such action would levy no cost requirements on them.

The DIA and service members did not agree completely. They felt that it must be clearly understood that unilateral CIA implementation of the CLAIRE system would not require participation of other members. They also expressed concern that CIA expenditure of the funds necessary to implement the CLAIRE system within CIA might have the effect of locking-in this system and pose problems for them in the long run.

The Chairman said that the Committee was required to send a report to the USIB. He hoped this could be done in the near future. He proposed and members agreed that the Committee staff prepare a paper for the USIB based on the findings and recommendations of the Working Group. This report would be made available to members and the Chairman hoped that members would be willing to address it at the next meeting of the Committee.

The Chairman asked the Working Group to remain convened until USIB action on this issue was completed.

2. New Business

The Chairman raised for member consideration an offer by the DIA member to schedule a briefing on Foreign Ownership, Control and

SECRET

~~SECRET~~

25X1

Influence Policy, Defense Industrial Security Program. The briefing would serve as preliminary input to a potential problem associated with foreign investment/purchase of US firms holding classified intelligence contracts.

The members agreed and the briefing will be presented at the 22 October meeting.

3. Action Requested

(a) The Secretary distributed drafts of the Harassment Study with a request for comments or approval for publication by 14 October. At the request of the Air Force member and with approval of the Chairman the deadline was extended to 22 October 1974.

(b) Copies of the minutes of the 24 September meeting were distributed and members will be called on for approval or comment at the next meeting.

4. Next Meeting

The next meeting was set by the Chairman for 1000 hours, 22 October 1974, in Room 6E-0708, CIA Headquarters.

[Redacted Signature]

Executive Secretary

25X1

~~SECRET~~

25X1

UNITED STATES INTELLIGENCE BOARD
SECURITY COMMITTEE

SECOM-M-162
27 September 1974

Minutes

One Hundred Sixty-Second Meeting
Room 7D64, CIA Headquarters
Tuesday, 24 September 1974, 1030 to 1145 Hours

Chairman
Presiding

MEMBERS PRESENT

25X1 [Redacted]

CIA Member

Mr. Victor Dikeos

State Member

25X1 [Redacted]

DIA Member

Mr. Merrill T. Kelly

Army Member

Mr. Donald R. Paschal

Navy Member

Mr. Charles R. Torpy

Air Force Member

Mr. Leon F. Schwartz

FBI Member

25X1 [Redacted]

NSA Member

Mr. H.L. Taylor

AEC Member

Mr. Dennis E. Southern

Treasury Member

25X1

~~SECRET~~

ALSO PRESENT

Chairman, Computer Security
Subcommittee

Chairman, Working Group on
Uniform Declassification Guidelines

Chairman, Working Group, CLAIRES

CIA Alternate

Departing State Member

Department of Army

Department of Air Force
Department of Air Force

FBI

NSA Alternate

Treasury Alternate

CIA

CIA

~~SECRET~~

SECOM-M-162
27 September 1974

1. Approval of Minutes
(IBSEC-M-161, 27 August 1974)

The minutes of the 161st meeting of the Security Committee were approved with the following changes:

Page 9, paragraph 8: New Business.
line 4: drop the "US" at the end of this line; and
lines 5 and 6: changed to read "espionage cases
involving US personnel analyzed to highlight
detectable traits, postures or circumstances."

2. "USIB Policy Establishing Uniform Security Processing Procedures
for Foreign Integrated and Liaison Personnel in the United States."
USIB-D-9.1/11

The members reported on the results of their review of implementation of this policy. All agencies were receiving assurances of clearance from the sponsoring government but some deficiencies related to the requirements for name checks and centralization of information were noted. Members discussed possible interpretation and applicability of the term "foreign liaison officer." It was agreed that this did not apply to the foreign personnel assigned in the United States for reasons not primarily associated with the exchange of intelligence. Questions were raised as to the value of name checks. While generally agreed that historically name checks had not obtained derogatory information, the members accepted the proposal of the NSA member that they continue as a reasonable security procedure. The original purpose for centralization of records of accreditation of foreign liaison and integrated officers was discussed and found to be of continuing application. Members decided that no changes were needed in the policy, and agreed to provide any derogatory information obtained as a result of the name checks to the FBI and CIA. The members also agreed to provide CIA with the identity of the foreign personnel concerning whom necessary checks have been conducted.

The Chairman noted that on receipt of the information, quarterly reports would be provided by CIA. After a period, the value of the procedures and reports could be reviewed again. The Chairman

SECRET

25X1

thanked the members for their attention to this issue which had been raised by the CIA element tasked to compile the central record and provide reports as a service of common concern.

3. Computer Security Subcommittee Report -
Acting Chairman

25X1

reported that the Subcommittee continued to be actively engaged in preparation of the comprehensive policy and procedure paper on computer security guidance. The latest draft of this paper was distributed to subcommittee members at its 20 September meeting. The Chairman of the subcommittee tentatively projected 31 January 1975 as the date for submission of this policy proposal to the Security Committee.

In connection with the efforts of the subcommittee to update the 1970 computer threat analysis, said that the CIA input was expected shortly. informed that he had been in touch with the CIA element preparing the input. He was of the opinion that a thorough exercise was in process. Some 726 case files had been identified which reflect varying degrees of Soviet/Bloc interest in the US computer field. While many of the cases reflect mere interest in computer technology as opposed to attempts at penetration, the overall effort would prove of continuing value. The Army member said it would be helpful if the threat study could distinguish between the reports of interest in technology and the reports of efforts to acquire information of value in defeating US security protection of computerized intelligence. Mr. was of the opinion that the information would be in a format that permits such a distinction.

4. Uniform Guidelines for Declassification - Working Group Report --
 Chairman

submitted a final draft of the proposed guidelines. He recommended that informal coordination of the Interagency Classification Review Committee be obtained prior to submission to the Board.

After some discussion, the Navy member withdrew objections reflected in footnotes of the report. The members approved the guidelines without other substantive change.

SECRET

25X1

SECRET

25X1

5. Analysis of US Espionage Cases

The Chairman led a discussion on parameters of application and implementing procedures. A question was raised and it was agreed that cases should be selected initially that relate primarily to intelligence interests. It was acknowledged that the usefulness of the study might be limited if classified at a high level. However, it was agreed that case material should be submitted at this initial stage at any level of classification.

In discussion of procedures, the Chairman expressed the hope that the study would be a true community effort and requested submissions from all members holding potentially useful material. A small group of two or three member agencies could be selected to do the initial draft once material had been received and depth of analysis decided. Subsequently, one writer could do the final preparation.

In the belief that sufficient information was now available in usable form in the agencies and departments, the Chairman recommended that cases requiring substantial additional work not be processed at this time by the agencies.

The Navy member submitted a short summary of one case for consideration of the Chairman.

6. CLAIRE Working Group - Report, [REDACTED] Chairman

25X1

(NOTE: Due to the press of time, [REDACTED] was not called upon. He submitted copies of the Working Group report which were disseminated to the members.)

25X1

The Chairman noted that members might desire to have more time than was available at the meeting to review the CLAIRE report and question the Chairman of the Working Group. Members agreed with the Committee Chairman's proposal to hold a meeting on 1 October primarily to address this issue.

The Secretary noted that the report of the CLAIRE Working Group reflected concurrence of all participating departments. If the Committee members desired to substantially change the reflected position of their agency, the Secretary would appreciate receiving at the 1 October meeting the new positions in written format to facilitate the preparation of a timely, accurate revision.

SECRET

25X1

~~SECRET~~

25X1

7. Lt. Gen. Vernon A. Walters, Deputy Director of Central Intelligence, presented the Central Intelligence Medal of Merit to Mr. G. Marvin Gentile, the recently retired State Department member, in recognition of his long and distinguished service with the Government.

8. Next Meeting

The next meeting of the Security Committee was set for 1030 hours, 1 October 1974, Room 6E0708, CIA Headquarters.

[Redacted Signature]

Executive Secretary

25X1

~~SECRET~~

25X1